App. F. IX-4

1924.

File No. 138-24

Previous References (Draft)

Serial Nos. / 8

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 8

Part B for October 1926.

SUBJECT

Recommends sanction to the exchange of lands for the benefit of Sri Chennigaraya Swamy temple at Bheerya, Yedatore Taluq.

G.O. No. 762-65 dated 27.10.26

To the Dy. Com^r
" Comptr.,
" Dev. Com^r
" Supdt. R.S.DS

Sanction the Dy. Com^r's recommendation.

G. R. S. 11] 1924.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Imz.)

NOTES.

File No. 138/1924

FILE HEADING.

Grant of lands as inam in exchange for those endowed to Sri Channa Kesava Swami otherwise known as Channigaraya Swami temple at Bheruya Sedashire Taluk.

From

The Imz Comr.

C No. 368 Dated 20-11-24 Received 22-11-1924

Diary No. 356	Enclos. Nil	Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests sanction to the Dy. Comrs. recommendation in respect of the exchange of lands for the benefit of the said institutions.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Dry S. No. 68, measuring A 2-30 and assessed at Rs 3-00 of Bheruya village which is a Devadaya inam endowed to the said temple, is situated in the midst of about 100 acres of land in the private

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

holding of Mr. M.S. Devaji Rao. As inconvenience is caused thereby both to Mr. Devaji Rao and the cultivating ryots of the inam lands, the former proposes the grant in exchange for the temple inam, of his private land Survey no. 207 measuring 3-15 a, and assessed at ~~Rs~~ 3-8-0 which adjoins the inam land of another instn. Both the Asst. Dy. Comr and the Asst. Comr recommend the conversion of S.No. 207 as Devadaya in favor of the temple in question and S.No. 63 as Sircar land in the name of Mr. Devaji Rao

Submitted

DL 28

BL 26.11.21.

Draft approved subject to

DL 5.12.21.

Draft submitted.

BL 11.12.21

Draft approved as

DL 12.12.21.

Rec. Secy

11 Dec

12.12.21

422/16/12

Serial No.

[illegible] with the letter [illegible] dated 16th Nov. [illegible] has reported [illegible] the temple to which the Inam land is assigned was in a dilapidated condition [illegible] there was no archaka [illegible] for the last [illegible] years and the [illegible] of the temple [illegible] was a proper case for consideration why the Inam tenure of the lands [illegible] should not be resumed. [illegible] reported [illegible] and the [illegible] Inam Deputy Collector [illegible] report on [illegible] any one could [illegible]

19/12/24 Dy. Sec.

16/12/24.

Dft submitted.

Sd/- 20/12/24 20/12/24

Issue

22/12/24.

To the Muzq. Commr. No. 958 d. 26.12.24. 2

Requests him to report whether it is a fact, as stated by the D.C., that the temple to which the Inam is assigned is in a dilapidated condition and has no archaka, in which case it is a proper case for consideration why the Inam tenure of the land

NOTE—Contn Sheet

93

(3)

Serial No.

land should not be cancelled & the land re-assessed to Govt & disposed of under the Darkhast Rules.

Jus 7/11/25

From, The Asst. Comr.
Letter no. 368 dt 18. 9. 1925.
Reports that the Villagers are not in favour of the resumption of the inam land as they wish to restore the puja in the temple that the Dy. Comr has given time till the end of Dec 1925 to do so, and states that the inam-lands may be allowed & be continued to be enjoyed by the incumbt until the time allowed by the Dy. Comr.
Submit for orders.
Mang Asst Comr
25. 7. 1925.
Re appl ?
RL
28/7/25

(X) (in Vern. records).

The records have not been rec. Obtain them
D
1 8 25.

D/f Submitted.
AC 6.8.25. RL 6/8/25 — Issued 8/8/25

NOTE—Contn Sheet

G.R.S. III-2]

Mer2 File No. 138 — of 1924

	Serial No.
To Mer2 Comsnr: No 167 of 10.8.25 Calls for the Vec records Connected with the Case	4

Sus 1(18

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

S. III 2]

373

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 138 of 1924

Serial No. 5

From, The Muzrai Comr.

Letter no C. 388 dt 22/9/25.

Submits the Vernacular records connected with the subject.

(i) Submitted for orders as to whether the Muz. Comr's recommendation contained in Sl 3, may be sanctioned.

(A)

AV 28/9/25

(i) may be approved

4.10.25.

182 received)

(A) This may be done & the Muz Comr asked to ascertain & report if the temple is regularly maintained in the manner & to the extent prescribed in the scheme

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561-GPB-3750—1 S 22

4/10

1.10.25.

III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 138 of 1924

Serial No.	
	15) Dist Supdt Madura No. 88 dt 18/10/25 Issue 10.10.25.
6	To. The Asst Comm. No 4458/5 10-25 States that the lands may be continued to be enjoyed by the inamdar till the end of Dec 25 & requests him to ascertain and report if the temple is repaired & puja resumed therein as promised by the villagers.
7	From, the Asst. Comr Letter no. C. 368 dt 3.9.1926 Reports that the Puja in the temple has been restored and that the villagers have now removed all the debris within the precincts of the temple and made it convenient for the conduct of daily worship. The temple is one of antiquity and requires 3 to 4 thousand Rupees for renovation, and that the devotees are taking interest

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561 - GPB—3750—18 22

R. S. III-2]

373
373

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 138 of 1926

Serial No.

and will improve the building

Submitted for orders - vide Serial 2 Enquiry and

Visit not? 7/10/1926 1st Members Minute 4/10/26

10/10 on page 4 of the Notes

2/10/26

Draft Saud giving full details of conspire

and
10/10

Rly 11/10

Draft G. O. Submitted

Rly 11/10 29.10.26

21) For appl or Alterations vide

paras. Puja has since been resumed in the temple.

and
20/10

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
22 OCT. 1926
No. 2467

Second Member)

~~How is this to be changed as an~~

~~advantage to the temple~~

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
OCT 1926
No. 653

22) Issue
and
25.10.26

23) Issued
25/10

8. To Mer Concern, Rev. Commr.
Compte & Supt. Rev Surveyor
No 762-5 & 27.10.26
Sanctions the commission of S. No
207 into Devadaya & for Rs 63 - into Encon-
Requests the M.C to recover the capitalised value
on the diminished [illegible]
Devadaya &c

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—I 8 22

Rly 25/11

No. 365 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

M-25 one cover and one file Dated Bangalore, 20th November 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.



To

V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mwg.138-24-1.

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.C.24/24-25 dated the 15th September 1924, received from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, recommending for sanction the request of Mr. M.S. --- Devoji Roa, a large land holder of Yedatore, to take his land S.No.207 (3a-15g assessed at 3-8-0) of Bherya village, Yedatore Taluk, as inam for the Sree Channakesavaswami otherwise known as Channigarayaswami - temple at the place and give him instead S.No.63 (2a-30g assessed at Rs.3/-) a temple inam devadaya which is situated in the midst of the lands in his holding and would form a compact block removing the ---- present inconvenience both to himself and the cultivators of the Inam land.

2 The Deputy Commissioner who was asked to report whether the -- temple archak was agreeable to this exchange as certain villagers had petitioned that the inam land was more valuable than Mr. Devoji Roa's land now offered for exchange, states in his letter of the 10th instant that it is reported that the temple is in a dilapidated condition and it has no archak, and the Amildar is trying to secure one. The --- Amildar who inspected the land on the 1st November 1924 is of opinion that there is no difference in the quality of the soil between the two lands to be exchanged and that the petition of some of the villagers referred to above is only the outcome of ill-will and is groundless.

3 In these circumstances I request that the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner in respect of the exchange of lands may be

kindly

kindly accepted and the exchange sanctioned, the tenure also being -- suitably changed in both cases.

The vernacular file received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter is herewith submitted for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chaudry

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.P.C.24/24-25 dated 14th September 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"In submitting herewith a small file of vernacular correspondence ending with Yedatore Taluk Amildar's report No.36 dated 1st August 1924, I have the honor to state that Mr. M.S.Devojee Rao, a Land-holder in Yedatore Taluk petitioned this office in July last stating that S.No.63 (extent 2a-30g dry assessed at Rs.3/-) of Bherya village, which is a Devadaya Inam endowed to the Temple of Chenna Kesava Devaru otherwise known as Chennigaraya Swami of the village is situated in the midst of about 100 acres of his land, that great inconvenience is caused thereby to (as well as to the cultivating ryots of the inam land & requesting that the inam land & the ryots to him) in exchange for Survey No.207 (measuring 3 acres 15 guntas and assessed at Rs.3-8-0) which is said to be in his holding, adjoining S.No.205 endowed as Inam to Yadaveswara swami Temple. The Amildar of Yedatore from whom a report was called for, has stated that the representations of the ---- petitioner are true, that the income from the Inam land referred to above is only 1¾ candies of ragi, that the Sri Chennigarayaswami temple to which S.No.63 is endowed is a village Muzrai institution that the villagers have no objection to the grant of the petitioner's requ-est and that as S.No.207 offered in exchange by Mr. Devoji Rao is situated adjoining another Devadaya Inam land and is larger in extent than and in no way inferior to the Inam S.No.63, the prayers of the petitioner might be granted etc. It is further reported that by converting S.No.207 to Devadaya Inam and S.No.63 into Sirkar land, there will be a diminution of 0-8-0 in the Land Revenue to Government and for this loss, the Amildar proposes that Mr. Devoji Rao might be asked to pay a capitalized value of Rs.25/-.

In the circumstances reported, I entirely agree in the views expressed by the Amildar and recommend the grant of the petitioner's request, reasonable as it seems to be. Sanction may also be kindly accorded to the conversion of S.No.207 as Devadaya Inam in favour of Chennigarayaswami Temple at Xxxxx Bherya and S.No.63 as Sircar land in the name of Mr. Devoji Rao."

"Copy"

[signature]

For Muzrai Commissioner. 20/11/24

KSR.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

....

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Misc.

File No.

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the .. 192 .

No. 954
Case on file

Date of issue 26.12.24

To

The Muz. Comrs.

Signed by Mr T. A.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. C. 368 dt 20th November 1924 requesting sanction for the exchange of Inam S. no. 63 of Sri channa kesava Swamy otherwise known as channiga Raya Swami temple at Bhenga in the Yedatore Taluq for S. no 207 of the same village

over.

belonging to one Mr.
Devaji Rao, I am directed
to observe (that I am then Mrs
~~from your letter~~
~~under reply~~ that the Dy.
Comr. has reported in his
letter of 10th Nov. 1924
(~~which has not been received~~
~~with the records~~) that the
temple to which the inam
is assigned is in a dilapidated
condition and has no Archak,
and to state that if the
facts are as stated above,
it is a point for consideration
why the Inam tenure
of the land should not
be cancelled and the land
resumed by Govt. and disposed
of under the Darkhast
Rules. I am ~~directed~~ Copied by
to request that you will Examined by
be so good as to send up
a report on this point
for the orders of Govt. - A copy of the Dy Com'r's report
(2) The records are returned dated 10th Nov. 1924 may also be forwarded
for perusal & re-turn.
I have &c.

List of enclosures.

WD 0556—G.P.B.—2 500—27 7-22

03433

No.368/M-8 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Gen I

Dated Bangalore, 16th July 1925.

18th

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Bangalore.

GENL. & REV. BRNCH. Bg. 18 JUL 1925 G. D. SECTION.

GEN. & REV. 20 JUL 1925 MUZRAI

The Secretary to the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.954/M.F.138-24-2 dated the -- 26th December 1924 enquiring why the inam tenure of the land endowed to to Sri Channigarayaswami temple at Bherya in the Yedatore Taluk should not be cancelled, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District who was consulted in the matter reports in his letter No.Retn.C.24/24-25 dated the 29th June 1925 that the villagers are not in favour of the resumption of the inam land as they wish to revive the puja in the temple. He also states that he has given them time till the end of December 1925 to do so.

In this circumstance the inam lands may be allowed to be continued to be enjoyed by the institution until the time allowed by the Deputy Commissioner. A copy of Deputy Commissioner's report dated 10th ----- November 1924 is forwarded as desired.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner

(Absent from Station)

KSR.

Letter No.Pet.C.24/24-25 dated 10th November 1924 from the -- Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

- - - -

"With reference to your letter No.C.368/24-25 dated 30th September 1924 requesting to be informed as to what the archak of the Sri Chennigaraya Swamy temple at Hadya has to say in the matter of exchange of the land offered by Mr. Devojee Rao, I have the honor to state that the present Amildar of Yedatore Taluk reports in his No.45 dated 1st November 1924 that the temple has no archak, that he has been trying to secure the services of one at least temporarily, and that the temple itself is in a dilapidated condition etc.,

He further adds that he inspected on 1st November 1924 both the temple Inam land and that proposed to be given in exchange by Mr.Devojee Rao, and he fully concurs in the opinion expressed by his predecessor regarding the quality of the land offered by Mr.Devoj e Rao. The Amildar's report also discloses that the allegation made in the petition of some of the villagers that the temple land is more valuable than the

the other, is groundless and that the petition is the outcome of [illegible]

The entire records are retransmitted for favour of ~~reference~~ and orders.

"C o p y"

16/7/45

for Mukhtar Commissioner

P.T.O.

15

App.F.VI GI.] B650

SUBJECT .....

Section

File No. 18—21.

Diary No of letter replied to 95

Serial No. 4

No. 167

To The Inuzrai Court

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 368 dt 18.7.25 regarding the inam land endowed to Sri Channiga Raya Swamy temple at Bheriya in the Jedatore Taluq, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to send up for reference the Vern. records bearing on the case.

I have etc

6.8.25.

Dy Rec-Secretary

Date of issue 10.8.25

Signed by for T.R.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

16

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

re file

Dated Bangalore, 21st (22nd) September 1925.



From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

4 M 373
Mug 138-24-5



To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

AV 22/9

Sir,

As called for in your letter No.167/M.F.138-24-4 dated the 10th ultimo, I have the honor to submit herewith the vernacular - records received with Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's ----- letter No.24/24-25 dated the 12th instant regarding the resumption of the inam land S.No.63 of Bherya village in the Yedatore Taluk - belonging to Sri Chaannigarayaswami temple at the place.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

21/9/25

for Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Receipt from Dte of Revenue

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 15- 10- 25

Signed by

Section Jmn

File No. 133-24

Serial No 5

No. 4-'25

Encl: to my letter no C-368 returned with the above ... 25.

To The Inspr of Land Records

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no C. 368 dt 22-9-1925 regarding the inam lands endowed to Sri Channiga Raya Swamy temple at Bhenga in the year this Jahir, I am directed to state that the remarks in your letter are found correct.

over.

The inam lands may be
~~allowed to be~~ continued
to be enjoyed by the inamdars
until the time allowed to
the Villagers by the Dy.
Comr for the revival
of worship, and to request
that you will be so good
as to ascertain and report
if the temple is repaired
and puja revived therein
by the end of Dec 1925,
as promised by the
villagers.

I have etc.

D. 10.10.25.

(D) Asst Secretary

BA

10/10/25

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

No. 12125 C3&8924-25 encl one file

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 1st September 1926. 3

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore. Mug. 138-24-7

To

Ranganatha Rao Saheb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 445/Muz.138-24-6 dated 15th October 1925 and subsequent reminders requesting to ascertain and report if the temple of Sri Channigaraya swamy at Bherya in the Yedatore Taluk is repaired and puja revived therein, I have the honor to submit herewith the vernacular correspondence received with the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.H.427/A.C. dated 26th August 1926 stating that the puja in the aforesaid temple has been restored and that the villagers have now removed all the debris and rank vegetation within the --- precincts of the temple and made it convenient for the conduct of daily worship.

From the report dated 17th August 1926 of the Amildar of the Yedatore Taluk it is seen that the temple is in a disrepaired --- condition requiring not less than three to four thousand rupees but deserves preservation since it is one of antiquity and that since - worship has been revived in the temple it is hoped that devotees will take interest and the temple will be improved. The villagers have evinced their earnestness by supplementing the yield from the Inam land with a candy of paddy every month contributed among themselves.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
C S Balasundaram Iyer
Muzrai Commissioner.

G.R.S(V1 G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Mun

File No. / 38-24

Serial No. 8

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue. 27-10-26

Signed by. B. Srinivasa Iyengar

Read—

Correspondence ending with letter no C 368 dy 3 9 25 from the Muzrai Com in Mysore requesting sanction for the exchange of Grama land S. no 63 of Sri channa Kesava Swamy temple otherwise known as channiga raya Swamy temple at Bheriya in the Yedatore Taluk for S. no 207 of the same village belonging to one Sri N S- Venkoji Rao.

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

v translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon No.

RxD
27/10/26

Dry 5 ins Mca ins

762-03

30 and a ordered at ₹ 3

Bhavya Villa which is Mg 138-14-8.

Dinadaya inam endowed & 27-10-26

1st Channakesava Swamy Even one file

temple at Bhavya is to M.C.

situated in the midst

of about 100 acres of

land in the private

holding of Mr M.S Devaji Rao.

As inconvenience is

caused thereby both

to Mr Devaji Rao and

the cultivating Ryots of the

Cultural

List of enclosures

inam land Mr

Devaji Rao requests

that the said S.No.

may be given to him

in exchange for S.No

207 in his holding

measuring 3 2 9 and

assessed at ₹ 3-8-0

Copied by

Examined by

883—GPB—1,000 00- 21-12-28

G.R.S. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Both The Dy. Comr and The Muz. Comr recommend The conversion of S. no. 207 as Devadaya in favour of The temple in question, and S. no. 63 as Sircar land in the name of Mr Devaji Rao. As the temple referred to was reported to be in a dilapidated condition and had no Archak, The Muz. Comr had (been) asked to report why the inam tenure of the land in S no 63. question should not be cancelled. ~~and~~ That officer now reports that ~~as prayed for by the villagers time was given and that Puja in the temple~~ Puja has since been restored in the temple ~~which is reported to be one of antiquity and that the~~ and that the Devotees are taking interest in the ~~well being of the~~ institution.

(2) Under the circumstances reported, Govt are pleased to sanction the ~~above~~ My Comrs recommendation regarding the exchange (and) of S no 63 for (and) S no 207 (and the conveyance of the tenure) of the Hindu Shrine.

MGDPB—30,000—5-4-05.

is requested to take action to cancel ~~the~~ ~~in the matter of the cancellation~~ ~~of~~ the main tenure of S. no. 63, and convert ~~the Conversion of~~ S. no 207 into main in the name of the ryot in question.

As the ~~is further reported~~ converting ~~that by converting~~ S. no. 207 into Devadaya and S. no. 63 into Saikar ~~therefore~~ results in ~~the~~ a diminution of ~~Rs~~ 0-8-0 in the land Revenue to Govt, the Muz. Court is requested to recover the capitalized value thereof from Mr. Devoji Rao.

Print
Mr 19/10/76.

Dt 20/10/76 Asst Fin C- Secretary

Fg

The Muz. Court.
" Rev - Court
" Complt
" Supdt, Rev. Survey and Settlements.
" Copy to Rev. Bommah.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No.C.369 dated the 3rd September 1926 from the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore requesting sanction for the exchange of Inam Land S.No.63 of Sri Chamundeshwari Swamy temple otherwise known as Chamundi Hill Temple at Mysore in the Yedatore Taluk for S.No.207 of the same village belonging to one Mr. K.C. Devaji Rao.

Order No. Read, the above, the [illegible]

It is reported that Survey Inam 63 measuring [illegible] guntas and situated at [illegible] of Mysore village is a garden Inam endowed to Sri Chamundeshwari temple at Mysore at Yadatore in the midst of about 100 acres of land in the private occupation of Mr. K.C. Devaji Rao. As inconvenience was caused to Mr. K. Devaji Rao and the ryots cultivating the Inam land, Mr. Devaji Rao requested that the said survey number be given to him in exchange for survey No. 207 in his private land and [illegible] and measured at Rs. 5-8-0. [illegible] Mysore Commissioner recommended the exchange of survey No. 207 as garden land of Mr. K.C. Devaji Rao for survey No. 63 as Inam land in the name of K. Devaji Rao. [illegible] able reference to [illegible] and to be a distance [illegible] road to Yadatore, the Mysore Commissioner has recommended to exchange the Inam tenure of the land [illegible] be cancelled. [illegible] restored in the temple and that the [illegible] in the institution.

Under the circumstances mentioned, Government are pleased to sanction the Mysore Commissioner's proposal for exchange of land survey No. 63 for land survey No. 207 and the conversion of the tenure. The Inam Superintendent is directed to take action to get all the Inam records of survey No. 63, Chamundi survey No. 207 into Inam [illegible]

to the conversion of Survey No. 207 into Devadaya and Survey No. 68 into Darkar results in a diminution of [illegible] in the Land Revenue to Government. The Mukhtad Commissioner is requested to recover the capitalised value thereof from [illegible].

[illegible]
Financial Department.

To

[illegible]

.....